

Remarks

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action dated July 23, 2004. The above amendments and remarks to follow are intended to be fully responsive thereto.

The specification was abstract was objected to for failing to mention reference numerals “37” and “116” set forth in the drawings. Applicant has amended the specification to address this objection.

Claims 14, 16 and 18 were objected to for several minor informalities which have been corrected by the above amendment. No new matter has been entered.

Claims 14-15 and 17-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Goff et al. (USP 6,417,894). Claims 1, 2 and 5-8 were rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Goff et al. ‘894 in view of Gold (US Pat. Pub. 2003/0089832). Claims 3-4 and 9 were rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Goff et al. ‘894 in view of Gold ‘832 and Tortula et al. (USP 5,325,278). Applicant respectfully submits that these rejections are overcome in view of the foregoing amendments and the following comments.

With respect to claims 1-4 and 10-12, Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite and arrangement including “a pair of spaced apart linking arms disposed between and pivotably connected to each of said base member and said magnifier thereby permitting compound movement between said base member and said magnifier” such that the magnifier may be moved from “a first stored position where the magnifier is located adjacent and flush against a back surface of said base member to a second open position raised above said base member at a location opposite said back surface of said base member.” This arrangement, which is clearly illustrated in Figures 1-5, cannot be

accomplished by the prior art.

With respect to claim 5-9 and 13-16, Applicant has amended independent claims 5 and 14 to clearly describe an arrangement where the magnifier is directly connected to the flip up display member of the portable video device. None of the prior art describes a video device includes any type of flip up display member.

With respect to claims 17-20, Applicant has amended independent claim 17 to recite an arrangement where the "magnifier may be pivoted to stored position whereby the magnifier is located flush against a back surface of base member." None of the prior art describes an arrangement where the magnified is rotate to be flush against the back side of the base member of the video display device.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remark, it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is allowable over the prior art of record and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner believe further discussion regarding the above claim language would expedite prosecution she is invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted,



Matthew W. Stavish
Matthew W. Stavish
Reg. No. 36,286

Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White
6550 Rock Spring Drive
Bethesda, Maryland 20817
Tel. (301) 896-0600
Fax. (301) 896-0607